

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONSURU WOLE SHO,

Petitioner,

v.

CURRENT OR ACTING FIELD  
OFFICER DIRECTOR, SAN  
FRANCISCO FIELD OFFICE, UNITED  
STATES IMMIGRATION AND  
CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 1:21-cv-01812-TLN-AC

**ORDER**

Petitioner, proceeding *pro se*, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 16, 2022, a magistrate judge previously assigned to this action issued findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF No. 22.) Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.

1     *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). The Court has  
2     reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and  
3     by the previously assigned magistrate judge's analysis.

4           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5           1. The Findings and Recommendations issued February 16, 2022 (ECF No. 22) are  
6     ADOPTED in full; and  
7           2. Petitioner's construed Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order (ECF No. 9) is  
8     DISMISSED as duplicative of the relief requested in his Petition.

9     **DATED: September 23, 2022**



---

Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28